

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,313	08/06/2001	Richard E. Rowe	IGT1P071/P-677	4673
22434	7590 04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			TESLOVICH, TAMARA	
P.O. BOX 70250 OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/927,313	ROWE, RICHARD E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tamara Teslovich	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	August 2001.				
· ·	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>08/08/01 12/16/02</u>. 		atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 15, the phrase "some of" fails to represent a specific numerical range, thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05.

Regarding claim 15, the phrase "any gaming application object which when combined with other gaming application objects constitutes the gaming application" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "any gaming application object which when combined with other gaming application objects constitutes the gaming application"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05.

20

5

10

15

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2137

Page 3

Claims 1-8, 10-26, and 28-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Alcorn et al. (US Patent 6,106,396).

As per claim 1, Alcorn et al. discloses a computer implemented method for generating a gaming application signature which uniquely represents a gaming application having a plurality of gaming application objects associated therewith, the method comprising:

retrieving a subset of the plurality of gaming application objects ("casino game data set");

generating an object signature ("message digest") for each of the retrieved gaming application objects;

combining the object signatures to generate the gaming application signature ("signature") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 52-64).

As per claim 2, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the gaming application objects are stored in at least one network node of a network ("remote read/write mass storage device"), and wherein the subset of the plurality of gaming application objects are retrieved from the at least one network node via the network ("network subsystem") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39; col.6 lines 55-65).

20

5

10

15

As per claim 3, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 2 wherein the network comprises a local area network and the gaming application objects are stored in at least

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

one of a gaming machine ("casino game console") and a gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") on the local area network ("network subsystem") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39; col.6 lines 55-65).

As per claim 4, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 3 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored on the gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39).

As per claim 5, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 3 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored on both of the gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") and the gaming machine ("casino game console") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39).

As per claim 6, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 2 wherein the network comprises a wide area network and the gaming applications objects ("casino game data set") are stored in at least one of a gaming machine ("casino game console") and a gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") on the wide area network (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39; col.6 lines 55-65).

As per claim 7, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 6 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored on the gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39).

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

As per claim 8, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 6 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored on both of the gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") and the gaming machine ("casino game console") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39).

As per claim 10, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein generating an object signature for each of the retrieved gaming application objects comprises at least one of generating a checksum from a corresponding one of the gaming application objects, applying a hashing function to a portion of a corresponding one of the gaming application objects, generating an audio file signature, generating a video file signature, and extracting a digital watermark (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 59-61; col.4 lines 38-40).

As per claim 11, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein combining the object signatures to generate the gaming application signature comprises at least one of combining the object signatures using at least one logic function ("encrypting"), applying a hashing function to the object signatures, and generating a checksum from the object signatures (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 61-62; col.4 line 41).

As per claim 12, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the gaming application signature comprises an original signature, the method farther comprising storing the original signature for authentication of subsequently generated signatures

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

corresponding to deployed gaming applications (see Alcorn et al. col.2 line 62 thru col.3 line 3).

As per claim 13, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the gaming application signature corresponds to a deployed gaming application, the method further comprising comparing the gaming application signature to a previously stored original signature to authenticate the gaming application (see Alcorn et al. col.2 line 62 thru col.3 line 3).

As per claim 14, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 13 further comprising comparing at least one of the object signatures to a corresponding object signature associated with the previously stored original signature where the gaming application is determined to be inauthentic (col.2 lines 43-48).

As per claim 15, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the plurality of gaming application objects includes some of a core gaming application object an audio object, a video object, a graphics object, a pay table object, and any gaming application object which when combined with other gaming application objects constitutes the gaming application (see Alcorn et al. col.7 lines 32-35; col.11 lines 15-21).

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

Page 7

As per claim 16, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the subset of gaming application objects comprises all of the gaming application objects (see Alcorn et al. col.7 lines 32-35; col.11 lines 15-21).

As per claim 17, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the subset of gaming application objects comprises less than all of the gaming application objects (see Alcorn et al. col.7 lines 32-35; col.11 lines 15-21).

As per claim 18, Alcorn et al. discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored in at least one of a gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") and a gaming machine ("casino game console") in a network, and wherein the subset of the plurality of gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are retrieved via one of the gaming machine and the gaming application server (see Alcorn et al. col.8 lines 14-19).

As per claim 19, Alcorn et al. discloses a computer readable medium having a computer program stored therein for generating a gaming application signature which uniquely represents a gaming application having a plurality of gaming application objects associated therewith, the computer program comprising:

computer program instructions for retrieving a subset of the plurality of gaming application objects ("casino game data set");

Art Unit: 2137

computer program instructions for generating an object signature ("message digest") for each of the retrieved gaming application objects; and

computer program instructions for combining the object signatures to generate the gaming application signature ("signature") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 62-64).

5

10

15

As per claim 20, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 19 wherein the gaming application objects are stored in at least one network node of a network ("remote read/write mass storage device"), and wherein the computer program instructions for retrieving the subset of the plurality of gaming application objects are operable to retrieve the subset from the at least one network node via the network ("network subsystem") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39; col.6 lines 55-65).

As per claim 21, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 20 wherein the network comprises a local area network and the gaming application objects are stored in at least one of a gaming machine ("casino game console") and a gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") on the local area network ("network subsystem") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39; col.6 lines 55-65).

As per claim 22, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 21 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored on the gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39).

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

As per claim 23, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 21 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored on both of the gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") and the gaming machine ("casino game console") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39).

As per claim 24, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 18 wherein the network comprises a wide area network and the gaming applications objects ("casino game data set") are stored in at least one of a gaming machine ("casino game console") and a gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") on the wide area network (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39; col.6 lines 55-65).

As per claim 25, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 24 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored on the gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39)

As per claim 26, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 24 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored on both of the gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") and the gaming machine ("casino game console") (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 34-39).

Art Unit: 2137

5

10

15

20

As per claim 28, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 19 wherein the computer program instructions for generating an object signature for each of the retrieved gaming application objects comprise computer program instructions for performing at least one of generating a checksum from a corresponding one of the gaming application objects, applying a hashing function to a portion of a corresponding one of the gaming application objects, generating an audio file signature, generating a video file signature, and extracting a digital water mark (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 59-61; col.4 lines 38-40).

As per claim 29, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 19 wherein the computer program instructions for combining the object signatures to generate the gaming application signature comprise computer program instructions for performing at least one of combining the object signatures using at least one logic function ("encrypting"), applying a hashing function to the object signatures, and generating a checksum from the object signatures (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 61-62; col.4 line 41).

As per claim 30, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim

19 wherein the gaming application signature comprises an original signature, the

computer readable medium further comprising computer program instructions for storing
the original signature for authentication of subsequently generated signatures

Art Unit: 2137

corresponding to deployed gaming applications (see Alcorn et al. col.2 line 62 thru col.3 line 3).

As per claim 31, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 19 wherein the gaming application signature corresponds to a deployed gaming application, the computer readable medium further comprising computer program instructions for comparing the gaming application signature to a previously stored original signature to authenticate the gaming application (see Alcorn et al. col.2 line 62 thru col.3 line 3).

10

15

20

5

As per claim 32, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 31 further comprising computer program instructions for comparing at least one of the object signatures to a corresponding object signature associated with the previously stored original signature where the gaming application is determined to be inauthentic (col.2 lines 43-48).

As per claim 33, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 19 wherein the plurality of gaming application objects includes some of a core gaming application object, an audio object, a video object, a graphics object, a pay table object and any gaming application object which when combined with other gaming application objects constitutes the gaming application (see Alcorn et al. col.7 lines 32-35; col.11 lines 15-21).

Page 12

As per claim 34, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 19 wherein the subset of gaming application objects comprises all of the gaming application objects (see Alcorn et al. col.7 lines 32-35; col.11 lines 15-21).

5

As per claim 35, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 19 wherein the subset of gaming application objects comprises less than all of the gaming application objects (see Alcorn et al. col.7 lines 32-35; col.11 lines 15-21).

10

15

20

As per claim 36, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 19 wherein the gaming application objects ("casino game data set") are stored in at least one of a gaming application server ("remote read/write mass storage device") and a gaming machine ("casino game console") in a network, and wherein the computer program instructions for retrieving the subset of the plurality of gaming application objects ("casino game data set") is operable to retrieve the subset via one of the gaming machine and the gaming application server (see Alcorn et al. col.8 lines 14-19).

As per claim 37, Alcorn et al. discloses a ("wireless") portable device for authenticating deployed gaming applications which comprises the computer readable medium of claim 19 (see Alcorn et al. col.6 lines 55-65).

Application/Control Number: 09/927,313 Page 13

Art Unit: 2137

As per claim 38, Alcorn et al. discloses a computer readable medium having a data structure stored therein which comprises a gaming application signature which uniquely represents a gaming application having a plurality of gaming application objects ("casino game data set") associated therewith, the gaming application signature comprising a combination of a plurality of object signatures ("message digest"), each of the object signatures ("message digest") being generated from one of the gaming application objects (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 52-64).

As per claim 39, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 38 wherein the object signatures correspond to at least one of a checksum from a corresponding one of the gaming application objects, a hashing function applied to a portion of a corresponding one of the gaming application objects, an audio file signature, a video file signature, and a digital water mark (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 59-61; col.4 lines 38-40).

15

20

10

5

As per claim 40, Alcorn et al. discloses the computer readable medium of claim 38 wherein the object signatures are combined to generate the gaming application signature using at least one of a logic function, a hashing function applied to the object signatures, and a checksum derived from the object signatures (see Alcorn et al. col.2 lines 61-62; col.4 line 41).

Page 14

Application/Control Number: 09/927,313

Art Unit: 2137.

As per claim 41, Alcorn et al. discloses a portable ("wireless") device for authenticating deployed gaming applications, which comprises the computer readable medium of claim 38 (see Alcorn et al. col.6 lines 55-65).

5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

10

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

15

Claims 9 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alcorn et al. (US Patent 6,106,396).

As per claims 9 and 27, Alcorn et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed. See the rejection of Claim 1 above.

20

Alcorn et al. fails to specifically disclose wherein the wide area network comprises the Internet.

However, Official Notice is taken of the fact that the Internet is a well-known form of wide area network.

Art Unit: 2137

It would have been obvious to a person of average skill in the area at the time of the invention to include Alcorn et al.'s network the Internet in order to provide secure communication between gaming consoles and servers.

5 Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamara Teslovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Caldwell can be reached on (571) 272-3868. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

20

10

15

March 29, 2005

ANDREW CALDWELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

andrew Caldul